UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,972	10/16/2006	Jianming Xu	139357USWO	7547
24587 ALCATEL LU	7590 01/15/200 CENT	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			AFSHAR, KAMRAN	
9400 W. PLAN PLANO, TX 75	LANO PARKWAY, MS LEGL2 EX 75075		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/15/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/599,972	XU ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		KAMRAN AFSHAR	2617		
7 Period for F	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHOR WHICHE - Extensio after SIX - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Downs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The rich of or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuty received by the Office later than three months after the mailing latent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)∏ Tr 3)∏ Si	esponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 Johns action</u> is FINAL . 2b) Thince this application is in condition for allowable of the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition	of Claims				
4a 5)⊠ Cl 6)⊠ Cl 7)□ Cl 8)□ Cl	•	awn from consideration. For election requirement.			
10)⊠ Th Ap Re	e specification is objected to by the Examinate drawing(s) filed on 22 July 2008 is/are: a splicant may not request that any objection to the eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrected oath or declaration is objected to by the E	accepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is objection	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notice of 3) Informati	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date <u>10/16/2006</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: Applicant failed to clearly define the claimed limitations "An article comprising a machine-readable medium" in the specification. In fact, the original filed specification only defines a machine readable storage device (See e.g. Page 11) such that the specification fails to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Art Unit: 2617

Claims 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. In accordance with the claimed language of claim 19 "An article comprising a machine-readable medium" which is directed to computer processing related claim. There are no clear and precise reference for An article comprising a machine-readable medium in the specification. In fact, the specification on pages 11-12 defines "a machine readable storage device or in a propagated signal", which makes the claim does not fit within the definition of machine because a signal (e.g., carrier waves, propagated signal, infrared signals, digital signal, etc), a form of energy, does not fall within one of the four statutory classes of 101.

Claim 20 is rejected as they are directly and or indirectly depended on rejected claim(s).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-18 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: 1-18.

With respect to claim 1, Laiho (U.S. Patent 6522655 B1) is the closest prior art to the application invention which discloses a method for routing calls in a distributed mobile switching center environment, the method comprising: receiving a call to be routed from a first switching node to a second switching node; [identifying] an inter-switch route for the call from the first switching node to the second switching node (See Laiho e.g. Steps 100-108 of Fig. 4, MSC 3, MSC 4, MSC 8 of Fig. 6).

Hotze (U.S. Pub. No.: 2004/0266422 A1) teaches a Wireless communications systems are known to include Mobile Switching Centers (MSCs) for receiving calls from mobile stations such as cellular telephones and the like (operated by wireless subscribers), or from network devices (e.g., other MSCs or wireline switches) and for routing calls to other mobile stations or to wireline parties via an attached network, such as the Public Switched Telephone Network (PSTN) (See Hotze e.g. Fig. Page 1, ¶ [0002], Figs. 1, 3).

Vo (U.S. Patent 6,795,44 B1), teaches an inter-MSC call handoff method is provided for handing over a call between a mobile terminal and a party in an integrated wireless packet-switched network system. First, second and third gateways, and one or more gatekeepers associated therewith are disposed within the integrated wireless packet-switched network system, wherein the first gateway is coupled to a first MSC, the second gateway is coupled to a second MSC, and the third gateway is associated with the party. The initial call path involves the first MSC, and the first and third gateways over the packet-switched network portion (See Vo e.g. Co. 5, Lines 22-32, Figs. 10 and 12).

However, the prior art of record fails to disclose singly or in combination to render obvious that identifying an inter-switch route for the call from the first switching node to the second switching node, the inter-switch route including an intermediate switching node, wherein the intermediate switching node includes a plurality of media gateways; selecting an intra-switch route within the intermediate switching node from a plurality of intra-switch routes within the intermediate switching node for establishing the inter-switch route, each of the plurality of intra-switch routes including at least one interconnection between media gateways; and connecting the call using the identified inter-switch route and the selected intra-switch route.

With respect to claim 10, the prior art of record fails to disclose singly or in combination to render obvious that the server [operable] to control routing for the plurality of media gateways, the server operable to select, for a call connection, an intra-switch route including a first media gateway, a second media gateway, and at least one interconnection for connecting the first media gateway and the second media gateway, the intra-switch route selected from a plurality of intra-switch routes designated for serving call connections routed through the first switching node and the second switching node.

Art Unit: 2617

5. Upon proper overcome of the objection(s) and rejection(s) as discussed above in

items 1-3, Claims 19-20 would be allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: 19-20.

With respect to claim 19, the prior art of record fails to disclose singly or in combination to render

obvious that the intermediate switching node having a plurality of geographically distributed media

gateways connected by interconnections; identify a first media gateway and a second media gateway of

the plurality of geographically distributed media gateways for use in routing the call from the first

switching node to the second switching node; identify an interconnection route list corresponding to a

routing between the first media gateway and the second media gateway, the interconnection route list

having a plurality of different interconnection routes between the first media gateway and the second

media gateway; determine an availability of at least one of the different interconnection routes; select an

available one of the different interconnection routes; and allocate the selected interconnection route for

use in routing the call from the first switching node to the second switching node.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

a) Picha (U.S. Pub. No.: 2004/0235477A1). b) Bendingfield (U.S. 5, 850, 606 A).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be

directed to Kamran Afshar whose telephone number is (571) 272-7796. The examiner can be reached on

Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by the telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Eng, George can be reached @ (571) 272-7495. The fax number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300 for all communications.

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Kamran Afshar/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2617